ANNEX A EXPROPRIATION

The Parties confirm their shared understanding that:

- 1. A measure or a series of measures by a Party cannot constitute an expropriation unless it interferes with a tangible or intangible property right or property interest in an investment.
- 2. Article 8.1 addresses two situations. The first is direct expropriation, where an investment is nationalized or otherwise directly expropriated through formal transfer of title or outright seizure.
- 3. The second situation addressed by Article 8.1 is indirect expropriation, where a measure or series of measures by a Party has an effect equivalent to direct expropriation without formal transfer of title or outright seizure.
 - (a) The determination of whether a measure or series of measures by a Party, in a specific fact situation, constitutes an indirect expropriation, requires a case-by-case, fact-based inquiry that considers, among other factors:
 - (i) the economic impact of the measure, although the fact that a measure or series of measures by a Party has an adverse effect on the economic value of an investment, standing alone, does not establish that an indirect expropriation has occurred;
 - (ii) the extent to which the measure interferes with distinct, reasonable investment-backed expectations; and
 - (iii) the character of the measure.
 - (b) Except in rare circumstances, non-discriminatory regulatory actions by a Party that are designed and applied to protect legitimate public welfare objectives, such as public health, safety, and the environment, do not constitute indirect expropriations.